Appln. No.: 10/720,292 Amdt. Dated May 13, 2010

Reply to Board of Appeal Decision of March 15, 2010

Remarks/Arguments

A telephonic interview was conducted between Mr. Ronald Reichman and Examiner Zheng on April 05, 2010. During that interview it was agreed that if the Applicant submitted an amendment of the claims together with a Request for Continued Examination and the associated fee, prosecution of the application would be reopened and the amendment would be entered.

In view of the above, the instant amendment of the claims is being submitted to overcome the outstanding rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 as failing to meet the written description requirement. Claims 1, 2, 10, and 11 have been amended and dependent claim 20 has been added.

In view of the fact that the Board determined that the subject matter of the claims was neither taught nor suggested by the prior art and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. has been overcome, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims of this application in a condition for allowance and favorable action thereon is requested.

If the Examiner has any questions, please call the undersigned at the telephone number noted below. Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 16-1885.

Respectfully submitted.

/Steven J. Shapiro/ Steven J. Shapiro Reg. No. 35,677 Attorney of Record Telephone (203) 924-3880

PITNEY BOWES INC. Intellectual Property and Technology Law Department 35 Waterview Drive Shelton, CT 06484-8000

(0118417.1) Page 8 of 8